New Cyclophane Hosts: A Hexaoxacyclophane

George R. Brown

Chemistry Department, ICI Pharmaceuticals, Mereside, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG Surinder S. Chana and J. Fraser Stoddart Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield, S3 7HF Alexandra M. Z. Slawin and David J. Williams Department of Chemistry, Imperial College, London SW7 2AY

The X-ray crystal structure of the hexaoxacyclophane (1), which can be synthesized from 1,3-bis-(bromomethyl)benzene and bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) ether in one step, reveals that in the solid state the macrocyclic ring forms a large molecular void potentially capable of binding aromatic guest molecules: the X-ray crystal structure of the 1:1 molecular complex formed between (1) and benzene exposes intermolecular and intercomplex aromatic ring interactions of an edge-to-face type.

The wish to create bireceptors¹ that might potentially form inclusion complexes with alkali metal phenoxides led us to a molecular design principle (Figure 1) in which a [2.2.1.2.2.1](mp₂)₂cyclophane² containing six phenylene rings is bridged diagonally by a polyether ribbon. Such bireceptors can be looked upon as wholly synthetic analogues of the cyclodextrins³ which have proved to be so successful as watersoluble receptors for directing weak electrophiles (*e.g.* HCHO, CCl₂, $\overset{+}{C}$ Cl₃), bound within their hydrophobic cavities, to attack regioselectively (*ca.* 100%) the *para* positions of included phenoxide substrates.⁴ In this communication, we report on (i) the synthesis of a hexaoxacyclophane (1) incorporating two *m*xylylene and two bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) ether units and (ii) its characterisation by X-ray crystallography in the solid state, both free and as 1:1 molecular complex with benzene.

Reaction [Bu¹OK/Bu¹OH–THF–C₆H₆ (9:9:1)/reflux/24 h] of bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) ether⁵ with 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene afforded (1) [7%, m.p. 195–199 °C, M, 608 (f.a.b.m.s.), δ (CDCl₃) 5.10 (8 H, s), 6.73 and 6.78 (16 H, A₂B₂), 7.23–7.36 (8 H, m)] after chromatography [SiO₂/light petroleum–EtOAc (6:1, v/v)]. Single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown from toluene.

The X-ray crystal structure \dagger of (1) reveals (Figure 2) a centrosymmetric macrocyclic geometry with a large free pathway through the macro ring centre. This partially preorganised receptor cavity is reminiscent of those adopted by the conformationally more flexible bispolyether(1,4)cyclophanes^{6,7} with the ability to bind⁶ the bipyridinium dications, Diquat and Paraquat, and the conformationally more rigid tetracationic bisparaquat(1,4)cyclophane⁸ which complexes⁹ with diphenol ethers. The distance between the mean planes of the parallelly-aligned hydroquinol rings A and D, is 8.3 Å, and the diagonal distances between the C(19) and C(19') hydrogens, and the C(22) and C(22') hydrogens are 8.8 and 6.3 Å, respectively. In the symmetry-related diphenyl ether units (A/B and D/E) the two aromatic rings approach (Figure 3) an orthogonal attitude (75°) with respect to each other. It is

noteworthy that there are two non-zero torsional components (10 and 65°) combining to produce this geometry. The methyleneoxy carbon atoms [C(1)/C(1')] and C(13)/C(13') are almost coplanar¹⁰ with the aromatic rings D/A and E/B, respectively.

Adjacent molecules of (1) pack in the crystal (Figure 4) so as to maximise the packing density, the central void within one macrocycle being partially filled by a hydroquinol unit (A/A') of the next and *vice versa*. This mode of packing gives rise to three approximately parallel aromatic-aromatic geometries,¹¹ the most notable being between B and B' which are inclined by only 6° to each other with a centroid-centroid separation of 3.8 Å.

When 1 molar equivalent of sodium *p*-nitrophenolate was added to a $CDCl_3$ solution (*ca.* 6 mM) of (1), as expected, insignificantly small displacements (*ca.* 0.01 p.p.m.) in the chemical shifts of the protons on the anion relative to those for the same protons in the free sodium *p*-nitrophenolate in $CDCl_3$

[†] Crystal data for compound (1). C₄₀H₃₂O₆, M = 608.7, monoclinic, a = 18.100(5), b = 17.027(7), c = 11.336(5) Å, $\beta = 118.10(3)^\circ$, U = 3082 Å³, space group C2/c, Z = 4 (the molecule is disposed about a centre of symmetry), $D_c = 1.31$ g cm⁻³, μ (Cu- K_a) = 7 cm⁻¹. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically to give R = 0.043, $R_w = 0.052$ for 1 907 independent observed reflections $[|F_0| ≥ 3\sigma(|F_0|), \theta ≤ 58^\circ]$.

Crystal data for compound (1). $C_6H_6:C_{40}H_{32}O_6.C_6H_6, M = 686.8$, monoclinic, a = 20.933(4), b = 6.634(1), c = 28.758(7) Å, $\beta = 110.97(2)^\circ, U = 3577$ Å³, space group C2/c, Z = 4 (the molecule is disposed about a centre of symmetry), $D_c = 1.28 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \mu(\text{Cu}-K_a) = 6$ cm⁻¹. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically to give $R = 0.072, R_w = 0.075$ for 1 974 independent observed reflections $[|F_0| \ge 3\sigma(|F_0|), \theta \le 58^\circ]$.

In both cases, data were measured on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer with Cu- K_{α} radiation (graphite monochromator) using ω -scans. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See 'Instructions for Authors (1989),' J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, Issue 1.

were observed. Significantly, when ¹H n.m.r. spectra of the hexaoxacyclophane (1) were recorded in C_6H_6 and $C_6D_5CD_3$, dramatic upfield displacements (-0.04 to -0.37 p.p.m.) of the chemical shifts of the singlet for the benzylic methylene protons and of the A_2B_2 system for the hydroquinol ring protons, relative to those obtained in $CDCl_3$, were observed: $\delta(C_6D_6)$ 4.75 (s), 6.65 and 6.74 (A₂B₂) and δ (C₆D₅CD₃) 4.73 (s), 6.59 and 6.69 (A_2B_2). Slow evaporation of a benzene solution of (1) has afforded crystals of a 1:1 inclusion complex suitable for X-ray crystallography.* On formation of a 1:1 molecular complex with benzene, the hexaoxacyclophane (1) undergoes (Figures 5 and 6) only minor conformational changes to enable entrapment of the guest molecule at the cyclophane centre. This encapsulation is achieved principally by rotations of rings B and E so as to enlarge the macro ring cavity. These rotations, together with changes in the torsional angles in the -O- and -CH₂O- bridges, result in a significant increase in the A to D ring centroid-centroid distance from 8.4 to 10.5 Å. The C to F distance is also increased slightly from 12.8 to 13.2 Å and there is an accompanying small reduction in the B to E separation from 10.6 to 10.1 Å. The benzene molecule G is held within the macrocycle by edge-to-face electrostatic interactions to rings A, B, D, and E. The centroid-centroid distances are A to G, 5.25 Å and B to G, 5.03 Å—and similarly to E and D from G' because of the crystallographic centre of symmetry. The c to G distance is 6.58 Å. The cyclophane molecules stack (Figure 7) in the crystallographic b direction creating continuous channels in the structure. The B and C rings in adjacent stacks interact in an edge-to-face manner with a B to C' (and C to B') centroidcentroid separation of 4.92 Å.

Acknowledgements

We thank A.F.R.C. (A. M. Z.) and S.E.R.C. and I.C.I. Pharmaceuticals (S. S. C.) for their support of this research and the Leverhulme Trust for the award of a Research Fellowship to J. F.S.

* See footnote on p. 21.

References

- 1 For examples of molecular receptors containing two different receptor sites, see J. Canceill, A. Collet, J. Gabart, F. Kotzyba-Hibert, and J.-M. Lehn, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1982, **65**, 1894; I. Willner and Z. Goren, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 1469; A. D. Hamilton and P. Wilcox, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 5735; K. Saigo, R. Lin, M. Kubo, A. Youda, and M. Hasegawa, Chem. Lett., 1986, 519; J.-M. Lehn, A. D. Hamilton, and J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, **108**, 5158.
- 2 For a recent excellent review of cyclophane hosts, see F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1988, 27, 362.
- 3 M. L. Bender and M. Komiyama, 'Cyclodextrin Chemistry,' Springer, Berlin, 1978; V. T. D'Souza and M. L. Bender, Acc. Chem. Res., 1987, 20, 146; R. Breslow, Science, 1982, 218, 532; Chem. Brit., 1983, 19, 126; New Scientist, 14 July 1988, No. 1621, p. 44.
- 4 M. Komiyama and H. Hirai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 103, 2018; 1984, 106, 174; H. Hirai, J. Incl. Phenom., 1984, 2, 455; M. Komiyama, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 1988, 651.
- 5 G. Koga, M. Yasaka, and Y. Nakano, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1969, 1(3), 205.
- 6 J. F. Stoddart, Pure Appl. Chem., 1988, 60, 467 and references therein.
- 7 A. M. Z. Slawin, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 1070.
- 8 B. Odell, M. V. Reddington, A. M. Z. Slawin, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, and D. J. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, in press.
- 9 P. R. Ashton, B. Odell, M. V. Reddington, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. F. Stoddart, and D. J. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, in press.
- cf. A. Makriyannis and S. Fesik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 6462;
 L. I. Kruse and J. K. Cha, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 1329;
 J. D. Mersch, J. K. M. Sanders, and S. A. Matlin, *ibid.*, 1983, 306.
- 11 R. O. Gould, A. M. Gray, P. Taylor, and M. D. Wilkinshaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5921; S. K. Burley and G. A. Petsko, Science, 1985, 229, 23; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 7995.
- 12 G. R. Bower, G. R. Brown, S. S. Chana, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. F. Stoddart, and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, following communication.

Received 19th September 1988; Paper 8/03548B